US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number :...... EPA MRID Number 45386304

Data Requirement:

PMRA DATA CODE

EPA DP Barcode

D284719

OECD Data Point

EPA MRID

45386304

EPA Guideline

§72-4b

Test material:

AE F 130060 Technical

**Purity: 94.6%** 

Common name:

Mesosulfuron-methyl

Chemical name:

IUPAC: Methyl 2-[3-(4,6-dimethoxyprimidin-2-yl)ureidosulfonyl]-4-

methan esul fon a mid omethyl benzo ate

CAS name: Not reported CAS No.: Not reported

Synonyms: Code: AE F130060 00 1C95 0001

Primary Reviewer: Rebecca Bryan Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation Signature: Relica co. Bryon Date: 9/8/03

QC Reviewer: Christie E. Padova

Signature: C. & Pack

Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

**Date:** 9/8/03

Leolasota Primary Reviewer: Tim Bargar, Biologist

OPP/EFED/ERB - III

Date: 0//09/09 fee La Date: 01/09/09 fee La

Secondary Reviewer(s): \ {EPA/OECD/PMRA}

Reference/Submission No.:

Company Code: Active Code:

**EPA PC Code: 122009** 

**Date Evaluation Completed:** 

CITATION: Sowig, P., et al. 2000. Effects on growth and reproduction of Daphnia magna (Waterflea), AE F130060; substance, technical. Unpublished study performed by Aventis CropScience GmbH, Frankfurt am Main. Germany. Laboratory Study Identification CE97/098. Study submitted by Aventis CropScience, Research Triangle Park, NC. Study initiated June 5, 1997 and completed October 18, 2000.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 45386304

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The 21-day chronic toxicity of AE F130060 Technical (Mesosulfuron-methyl) to *Daphnia magna* was studied under static-renewal conditions. Nominal concentrations were 0 (negative control), 10, 18, 32, 56, and 100 ppm; mean-measured concentrations were <0.26 (LOD, control), 9.3, 16, 28.7, 49.4, and 90.0 ppm a.i.

No mortalities/immobilizations were observed in any test vessel during the 21-day study. The 21-day LC/EC<sub>50</sub> was >90.0 ppm a.i. In addition, no immobilization of neonates was observed. The first brood release occurred on Day 9 for the ≤49.4 ppm a.i. groups, and on Day 12 for the 90.0 ppm a.i. group, indicating a treatment-related effects at this level. The NOEC for time to first brood release was 49.4 ppm a.i. Instead of providing the overall mean number of offspring/adult, the number of offspring/adult were individually assessed on Days 9, 12, 14, 16, 19, and 21. A treatment-related reduction in the number of offspring/test vessel was observed on Days 9, 12, and 16. The NOEC for offspring/adult was determined to be 28.7 ppm a.i., based on reduced reproduction on Days 9 and 12. A treatment-related reduction in terminal length was observed at all test levels. The resultant NOEC for length was <9.3 ppm a.i. A treatment-related reduction in terminal dry weight was observed at the ≥49.4 ppm a.i. levels. The resultant NOEC for terminal weight was 28.7 ppm a.i. Overall the endpoints affected by treatment with AE F130060 Technical included the time for first brood release, the number of offspring/adult, and terminal growth measurements. The most sensitive endpoint was terminal length, where all test levels were affected.

This study is scientifically sound, but does not fulfill the guideline requirements for an aquatic invertebrate life cycle test with *Daphnia magna* (§ 72-4b). An NOEC for growth was not established as effects were observed at the lowest tested dosage.

#### Results Synopsis:

Test Organism Age (eg. 1<sup>st</sup> instar): 1<sup>st</sup> instar, ≤24 hours old Test Type (Flowthrough, Static, Static Renewal): Static Renewal

## Adult and Juvenile immobility

LC/EC<sub>50</sub>: >90.0 ppm a.i. NOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i. LOEC: >90.0 ppm a.i.

## Time to First Brood Release

NOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i. LOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i.

## Reproduction (Offspring/adult)

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i.

#### Length

NOEC: <9.3 ppm a.i. LOEC: 9.3 ppm a.i.

#### Dry Weight

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i. Most Sensitive Endpoint: Length

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**GUIDELINES FOLLOWED:** 

The study protocol was based on procedures outlined in the OECD

Guideline No. 202 (1984, and proposed update 1996), the U.S. EPA Pesticide

Assessment Guidelines, Series §72-4 (1982), and the EU Directive

92/69/EWG Annex Part C:C.2. Deviations from U.S. EPA FIFRA Guideline

§72-4b include:

1. The storage conditions of the test material were not reported.

- 2. The pretest health (including mortality) of the parental stock was not specified. In addition, a 21-day isolated sub-culture was not performed.
- 3. The water hardness in terms of mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub> was not provided.
- 4. The dissolved oxygen content in terms of percent saturation was not reported.
- 5. The pH range (7.1-7.8) was slightly lower than the recommended range (7.6-8.0).
- 6. The total organic carbon and particulate matter contents, and levels of metals, pesticides, and chlorine in the dilution water were not reported.

These deviations did not affect the validity or acceptability of the study.

**COMPLIANCE:** 

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and Data Confidentiality statements were provided. This study was conducted in accordance with

OECD principles of GLP (p. 3).

#### A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material

AE F 130060 Technical (Mesosulfuron-methyl)

Description:

Light beige powder

Lot No./Batch No.:

Code: AE F130060 00 1C95 0001

Purity:

94.6%

Stability of Compound

**Under Test Conditions:** 

New test medium was sampled on Days 0, 7, 9, 14, and 19. Old test medium was sampled on Days 2, 9, 12, 16, and 21. Recovery rates ranged from 91.8-101.1% of nominal concentrations, with no pattern of decline (Tables 6.2.2 and 6.2.3).

decline (Tables 6.2.2 and 6.2.4, pp. 28-29).

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

Not reported.

OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light,  $pK_a$ ,  $P_{ow}$ , and vapor pressure of the test compound. OECD requirements were not reported.

## 2. Test organism:

Species:

Daphnia magna

Age of the parental stock:

Not specified

Source:

In-house laboratory cultures.

#### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

## 1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding Study: A range-finding study was not reported.

b. Definitive Study:

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
		Criteria		
Parental acclimation: Period:	Continuous culture			
Conditions (same as test or not):	Same as test			
Feeding:	Unicellular green algae, Selenastrum subspicatus, provided twice a week.			
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported			
Test condition: static renewal/flow through:	Static renewal			
Type of dilution system- for flow through method.	N/A			
Renewal rate for static renewal	Days 2, 5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 16, and 19	For flow-through study: consistent flow rate of 5-10 vol/24 hours, meter systems calibrated before study and checked twice daily during test period.		

	Parameter	Details	Remarks
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	200	Criteria
Aeration, i	f any	No aeration during the study.	
			Dilution water should be aerated to insure DO concentration at or near 100% saturation. Test tanks should not be aerated.
Duration of	f the test	21 days	
			EPA requires 21 days for static renewal
Test vessel			
Material: (gl	ass/stainless steel)	Glass beakers with glass lids	
Size:			
	growth/reproduction test:	175 mL (reviewer-calculated)	1. Material: Glass. No. 316 stainless steel. or
	survival test:	600 mL	perfluorocarbon plastics
Fill volume:		•	2. <u>Size</u> : 250 mL with 200 mL fill volume is preferred;
	growth/reproduction test:	100 mL (6.8- to 7.0-cm depth)	100 mL with 80 mL fill
	survival test:	400 mL (7.9- to 8.2-cm depth)	volume is acceptable.  OECD requires parent animals be maintained individually, one per vessel, with 50 - 100 mL of medium in each vessel.
Source of dil	ution water	Deionized water and artificial mineral medium M4 (Elendt 1990) were used to prepare eight different stock solutions, each containing different chemical components (pp. 14-	
		16). Varying volumes of each of the prepared solutions were combined to make 1 L of the dilution water.	Unpolluted well or spring that has been tested for contaminants, or appropriate reconstituted water (see ASTM for details).

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Water parameters: Hardness	1.66-1.70 mmol/L (Ca <sup>2+</sup> + Mg <sup>2-</sup> )	The water hardness in terms of mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> was not provided.
рН	7.1-7.8	The dissolved oxygen content in terms of percent saturation was not
Dissolved oxygen	5.5-9.5 mg/L	reported.
Temperature	19.7-20.4°C	The pH range (7.1-7.8) was slightly lower than the recommended range
Total Organic Carbon	Not reported	(7.6-8.0).
Particulate matter	Not reported	EPA requires:
Metals	Not reported	hardness 160 to 180 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , OECD requires > 140 mg/L as CaCO
	Not reported	pH 7.6 to 8.0 is recommended. Must not deviate by more than one unit for
Pesticides	Not reported	more than 48 hours. OECD requires pH rang 6 - 9 and should not vary
Chlorine		more than 1.5 units in any one test.
	:	Dissolved Oxygen Renewal: must not drop below
		50% for more than 48 hours.  Flow-through: > 60% throughouttest.
•		Temperature 20°C ± 2°C. Must not deviate from
		20°C by more than 5°C for more than
		48 hours. OECD requires range 18 - 22°C; temperature should not vary
		more than ± 2°C OECD requires total organic carbon <
lumbar of organia		2 mg/L
umber of organisms:	25 daphnids/level for the control and test groups.	~ <b>~ ~ ~ ~ ~</b> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
growth/reproduction test:	10 daphnids, divided into 10	EPA requires 22 daphnids/level;
	chambers, with 1 daphnid/chamber	7 test chambers should contain I daphnid each, and 3 test chambers
survival test:	15 daphnids, divided into three	should contain 5 daphnids each.
	chambers, with 5	OECD requires minimum of 10
	daphnids/chamber	daphnids held individually for static tests. For flow-through tests, 40
		animals divided into 4 groups of 10 animals at each test concentration.

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
Application rates: nominal:	0 (negative control), 10, 18, 32, 56, and 100 ppm	Fresh and/or aged water samples were collected and analyzed on Days 0, 2, 7, 9, 12, 14, 16, 19, and 21
measured:	<0.26 (LOD, control), 9.3, 16, 28.7, 49.4, and 90.0 ppm a.i.	Mean-measured concentrations were reviewer-calculated from corrected (for purity) fresh and aged analytical data in Tables 6.2.2 and 6.2.4, pp. 28-29.
		EPA requires control(s) and at least 5 test concentrations; dilution factor not greater than 50%.  OECD requires at least 5 test concentrations in a geometric series with a separation factor not exceeding 3.2.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
		EPA requires: solvent to exceed 0.5 ml/L for static tests or 0.1 ml/L for flow-through tests. Acceptable solvents are dimethylforma- mide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone and ethanol. OECD requires \le 0.1 ml/L
Lighting	16:8 hour light/dark cycle	
		EPA/OECD requires: 16 hours light, 8 hours dark.
eeding	During the test, daphnids were fed unicellular green algae, Selenastrum subspicatus, daily during the week and provided a three fold amount on Fridays for the weekend. The amount of food for Days 0-8 was 10 million cells/adult/day and for Days 9-21 was 15 million cells/adult/day.	

Parameter	Details	Remarks
	Details	Criteria
Stability of chemical in the test system	Verified. New test medium was sampled on Days 0, 7, 9, 14, and 19. Old test medium was sampled on Days 2, 9, 12, 16, and 21. Recovery rates ranged from 91.8-101.1% of nominal concentrations, with no pattern of decline (Tables 6.2.2 and 6.2.4, pp. 28-29).	
Recovery of chemical:	97.0-104.0% of nominal	Based on matrix spikes analyzed
Frequency of measurement:	Days 0, 2, 7, 9, 12, 14, 16, 19, and 21	concurrently with the samples at
LOD:	0.26 ppm	
LOQ:	0.44 ppm	
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	N/A	

## 2. Observations:

Table 2: Observations

Criteria	Details	Remarks		
	Details	Criteria		
Data end points measured (list)	- Survival of first-generation daphnids and neonates - # young produced per test vessel - 1 <sup>st</sup> appearance of juveniles - Total length - Dry weight	EPA requires: - Survival of first-generation daphnids, - Number of young produced per female, - Wet or dry weight (required) and length (optional) of each first generation daphnid alive at the end of the test, - Observations of other effects or clinical sign		
Observation intervals	Mortality and juvenile production and condition of parent daphnia (abnormalities) were observed at every renewal (three times weekly). Total length and body weight were determined at the end of the test.			
Were raw data included?	Yes			
Other observations, if any	N/A			

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. MORTALITY:

No mortalities/immobilizations were observed in any test vessel (those maintained for survival as well as reproduction/growth) during the 21-day study (p. 24). The 21-day LC/EC<sub>50</sub> was >100 ppm (nominal).

Table 1: Effect of AE F130060 Technical on Growth, Reproduction, and Survival of Daphnia sp.

Treatment, ppm a.i. Measured and (Nominal)	Morta (deac immo	lity i or	Total Mean No. of	Mean No. of Young per Test Vessel (Day 21) <sup>1</sup>	Mean Day of 1" Brood
Concentrations	No. Dead	%	Young		
Negative control	0	0	134.9	20.1	9
9.3 (10)	0	0	119.5	11.4	9
16 (18)	0	0	123.3	15.0	9
28.7 (32)	0	0	121.1	14.3	9
49.4 (56)	0	0	100.7*	10.1	9
90.0 (100)	0	0	80.1*	13.8	12*
NOEC, ppm	100		32	32	56
LOEC, ppm	>100	·	56	56	100
MATC, ppm	ND	. "	ND	42.3	ND
LC <sub>sn</sub> /EC <sub>sn</sub> . ppm Data for Day 21 only. An overall	>100		ND	ND	ND

Data for Day 21 only. An overall mean was not determined.

## B. EFFECT ON REPRODUCTION AND GROWTH:

The first brood release occurred on Day 9 for the ≤56 ppm groups, and on Day 12 for the 100 ppm group, indicating a treatment-related effects at this level. The NOEC for time to first brood release was 56 ppm.

No immobilization of neonates was observed.

The total mean number of young produced was statistically-reduced at the ≥56 ppm test levels, with a NOEC of 32 ppm. Instead of providing the overall mean number of offspring/adult, the number of offspring/adult were individually assessed on Days 9, 12, 14, 16, 19, and 21 (Table 6.6, p. 32 and Figure 7.5, p. 36). A treatment-related reduction in the number of offspring/test vessel was observed on Days 9, 12, and 16, although the study authors noted that the Day-16 data were non-homogeneous (even with log-transformation, p.24). The NOEC for offspring/adult was determined to be 32 ppm, based on reduced reproduction on Days 9 and 12. The study authors noted that the lower NOEC on these days may indicate a slight retardation of the start of reproduction.

The terminal growth of daphnids maintained in groups (for survival) were assessed in addition to those maintained individually (Table 6.7, p. 33 and Figures 7.7-7.10, pp. 38-41). Statistical comparisons revealed a treatment-related effect on the length of adult daphnids maintained under both conditions at all treatment levels. The NOEC for terminal length was <10 ppm. The weight of adult daphnids also was statistically-reduced in animals maintained under both conditions, although at different levels. In daphnids maintained individually, the NOEC for terminal weight was 56 ppm and in daphnids maintained in groups of five, the NOEC was <10 ppm.

<sup>\*</sup> Values with differences versus control, as determined by the study authors.

ND = Not determined.

The NOEC for terminal weight was concluded to be <10 ppm.

Table 2. Effect of AE F130060 Technical on Terminal Growth of Daphnia sp.

Measured and (nominal) concentrations	Le	Length (cm)		Weight (g)	
(ppm a.i.) <sup>1</sup>	Single	Group	Single	Group	
Negative control	4.37	4.36	0.95	0.91	
9.3 (10)	4.05*	3.96*	0.91	0.73*	
16 (18)	4.09*	3.92*	0.84	0.67*	
28.7 (32)	4.03*	4.12*	0.86	0.72*	
49.4 (56)	4.14*	4.02*	0.82	0.81*	
90.0 (100)	4.11*	4.14*	0.70*	0.67*	
NOEC, ppm	<10	<10	56	<10	
LOEC, ppm	ND	ND	100	ND	
MATC. ppm  Values with differences versus control as determined.	ND	ND	ND	ND	

<sup>\*</sup> Values with differences versus control, as determined by the study authors.

ND - Not determined.

### C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

The study authors reported that the NOEC and LOEC was determined using General Linear Models using DUNCAN's Multiple Range Test Procedures (SAS, 1989). The ANOVA assumption of homogeneity of variance was conducted, followed by Bartlett's Test. In cases where the assumption of homogeneity could not be verified, data were transformed logarithmically. The MATC was the geometric mean of the NOEC and LOEC values. The LC/EC50 values were estimated based on the reproduction and survival data.

## D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Length, weight, and reproductive data (from singled adult females) were determined to satisfy the assumptions of ANOVA (i.e., normality and homogeneity of variances). The NOEC and LOEC for these endpoints were determined using ANOVA and, if necessary, Dunnett's (length) or William's (weight and reproduction) multiple comparison test via TOXSTAT statistical software. There was no immobility for adults or juveniles in this study, so the NOEC and LOEC for these endpoints were determined visually. The time to first brood release was also determined visually. Results are based on the average of measured (and corrected for purity) fresh and aged test solutions.

## Adult and Juvenile immobility

LC/EC<sub>50</sub>: >90.0 ppm a.i. NOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i. LOEC: >90.0 ppm a.i.

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number [......] EPA MRID Number 45386304

#### Time to First Brood Release

NOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i. LOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i.

## Reproduction (Offspring/adult)

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i.

#### Length

NOEC: <9.3 ppm a.i. LOEC: 9.3 ppm a.i.

#### Dry Weight

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i.

Endpoints Affected: Time to first brood release, number offspring/adult, length, dry weight

Most Sensitive Endpoint: Length

## E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no significant deviations from U.S. EPA guideline §72-4b that affected the validity or acceptability of this study.

## F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were similar to the study authors'. Length was significantly affected at all treatment levels. Another concurrently-submitted study (CE97/098-2; MRID 45386305) was conducted at lower levels to determine a NOEC for the chronic effects of AE F130060 on daphnid growth. The study author also assessed growth in vessels containing individual as well as group-held daphnia, whereas the reviewer assessed the data only from the individually-maintained daphnia. The study authors based toxicity values on the nominal concentrations, while the reviewer based them on the mean-measured concentrations.

No ephippia were produced by any test individual.

#### G. CONCLUSIONS:

The study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an aquatic invertebrate life cycle test with the Daphnia magna (\$72-4b). This study is classified as Core. The most sensitive endpoint was terminal

## Adult and Juvenile immobility

LC/EC<sub>50</sub>: >90.0 ppm a.i. NOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i. LOEC: >90.0 ppm a.i.

Time to First Brood Release

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number .......! EPA MRID Number 45386304

NOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i. LOEC: 90.0 ppm a.i.

#### Reproduction (Offspring/adult)

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i.

#### Length

NOEC: <9.3 ppm a.i. LOEC: 9.3 ppm a.i.

#### Dry Weight

NOEC: 28.7 ppm a.i. LOEC: 49.4 ppm a.i.

Endpoints Affected: Time to first brood release, number offspring/adult, length, dry weight

Most Sensitive Endpoint: Length

#### III. REFERENCES:

- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1984. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals; Guideline No. 202: Daphnia sp., Acute Immobilization Test and Reproduction Test, 04 April 1984.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1996. Proposal for Updating Guideline No. 202, Part II: Daphnia magna Reproduction Test.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1975. Committee on Methods for Toxicity Tests with Aquatic Organisms, Method for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and Amphibians. EPA-660/3-75-
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines. Subdivision E, §72-4, Fish early life stage and aquatic invertebrate life-cycle studies.
- Bradley, M.C. 1988. Report of the results and conclusions of the EEC Daphnia magna genetic typing exercise. University of Sheffield, Department of Zoology, February 3rd 1988.
- Deutsches Institut für Normung (DIN). 1989. German standard methods for the examination of water, waste water, and sludge. Normenausschuß Wasserwesen (NAW) im DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e.V. Berlin.
- Elendt, B.P. 1990. Selenium deficiency in Crustacea. An ultrastructural approach to antennal damage in Daphnia magna Straus., Protoplasma 154: 25-33.
- Stephan, C.E. 1982. A Computer Program for Calculating an LC<sub>50</sub>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Mn, Letter to Dr. Lowell Bahner, Chairman of the ASTM Task group on Calculating LC50s; September 10, 1982.
- SAS, 1989, SAS Institute Inc., Cary. NC USA, Release 6.12 TS407.
- Stephenson, R.R. & S.A. Watts. 1984. Chronic toxicity tests with Daphnia magna: the effects of different food and temperature regimes on survival, reproduction and growth. Environmental Pollution (Series A) 95:95-107.

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number ...... EPA MRID Number 45386304

#### APPENDIX 1. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION: length

File: 63041 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.732	0.146	3.561
Within (Error)	54	2.222	0.041	
Total	59	2.954		
·	- <b>-</b>			

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05, 5, 40)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

length

File: 63041

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	control	4.367	4 2 6 7		<b>-</b>
2	9.3	4.055	4.367		
3	16.0		4.055	3.445	*
4	<del>-</del>	4.088	4.088	3.081	*
5	28.7	4.034	4.034	3.677	*
6	49.4	4.144	4.144	2.463	*
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	90.0	4.112	4.112	2.816	*

length

File: 63041 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

<del>-</del>	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment.</th></t<>	reatment.
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4	control 9.3 16.0 28.7	10 10 10	0.209 0.209 0.209	4.8 4.8 4.8	0.312 0.279 0.333

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number ...... EPA MRID Number 45386304

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	237.17.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11	111001 400004
5 6	49.4 90.0	_ •	0.209 0.209	4.8	0.225
	<del>-</del>	<del></del>			

length

File: 63041 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST		regression	model)	TABLE	1 OF	2			

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N 	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6	control 9.3 16.0 28.7 49.4 90.0	10 10 10 10 10	4.367 4.055 4.088 4.034 4.144 4.112	4.367 4.055 4.088 4.034 4.144 4.112	4.367 4.087 4.087 4.087 4.087 4.087

length

File: 63041 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

		-						
WILLIAMS				model)	TABLE	2	OF	2

					,
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P≃.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control	4.367				
9.3	4.087	3.091	*	1.68	k = 1, v = 54
16.0	4.087	3.091	*	1.76	k = 1, V = 54 k = 2, V = 54
28.7	4.087	3.091	*	1.79	k = 3, v = 54
49.4	4.087	3.091	*	1.80	k = 4, v = 54
90.0	4.087	3.091	*	1.80	k = 5, v = 54
					,

s = 0.203

Note: df used for table values are approximate when  $\nu$  > 20.

weight

File: 6304w Transform: NC TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF '	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.379	0.076	4.222
Within (Error)	54	0.970	0.018	

Page 15 of 19

Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number ......

EPA MRID Number 45386304

1.349

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05, 5, 40)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

weight

File: 6304w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	OUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>					
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	sig	
. 1 2 3 4 5	control 9.3 16.0 28.7 49.4 90.0	0.945 0.913 0.836 0.858 0.816 0.696	0.945 0.913 0.836 0.858 0.816 0.696	0.533 1.817 1.450 2.150 4.150	*	

Dunnett table value = 2.31 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,5)

weight

File: 6304w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	:Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4	control 9.3 16.0 28.7	10	0.139 0.139	14.7	0.032 0.109
5 - 6	28.7 49.4 90.0	10 10 10	0.139 0.139 0.139	14.7 14.7 14.7	0.087 0.129 0.249

weight

File: 6304w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

ORIGINAL TRANSFORMED ISOTONIZED .

Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number ...... EPA MRID Number 45386304

					11411001 455005
	IDENTIFICATION	N 	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
1	control	10	0.945	0.945	0.945
2	9.3	10	0.913	0.913	0.945 0.913
3	16.0	10	0.836	0.836	C.847
4	28.7	10	0.858	0.858	0.847
5	49.4	10	0.816	0.816	0.816
6	90.0	10	0.696	0.696	0.696

weight

File: 6304w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isctonic	regression	,model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 9.3 16.0 <b>28.7</b> 49.4 90.0	0.945 0.913 0.847 <b>0.847</b> 0.816 0.696	0.534 1.635 <b>1.635</b> 2.152 4.153	*	1.68 1.76 <b>1.79</b> 1.80	k= 1, v=54 k= 2, v=54 k= 3, v=54 k= 4, v=54 k= 5, v=54

s = 0.134

Note: df used for table values are approximate when  $\gamma > 20$ .

#### reproduction

File: 6304r Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	5	19268.333	3853.667	7.561	
Within (Error)	54	27523.400	509.693	1001	
Total	59	46791.733			

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05,5,40)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

reproduction

#### Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number ...... EPA MRID Number 45386304

File: 6304r Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment		
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
2 3 4 5 6	control 9.3 16.0 28.7 49.4, 90.0	134.900 119.500 123.300 121.100 100.700 80.100	134.900 119.500 123.300 121.100 100.700 80.100	1.525 1.149 1.367 3.387 5.428	*

Dunnett table value = 2.31 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40.5)

reproduction

File: 6304r Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
ī	control	10			
2 3	9.3 16.0	10 10	23.323 23.323	17.3	15.400
4 5	28.7 49.4	10 10	23.323	17.3 17.3	11.600 13.800
6 .	90.0	10	23.323 23.323	17.3 17.3	34.200 54.800

reproduction

File: 6304r Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto	onic	regression mode	el) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	
1 2 3 4 5 6	control 9.3 16.0 28.7 49.4 90.0	10 10 10 10 10	134.900 119.500 123.300 121.100 100.700 80.100	134.900 119.500 123.300 121.100 100.700 80.100	134.900 121.400 121.400 121.100 100.700 80.100

# Data Evaluation Report on the Chronic Toxicity of AE F130060 Technical to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia sp. PMRA Submission Number (.......) EPA MRID Number 45386304

reproduction File: 6304r

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF
control 9.3 16.0 <b>28.7</b> 49.4 90.0	134.900 121.400 121.400 <b>121.100</b> 100.700 80.100	1.337 1.337 <b>1.367</b> 3.387 5.428	· *	1.68 1.76 <b>1.79</b> 1.80 1.80	k= 1, v=54 k= 2, v=54 k= 3, v=54 k= 4, v=54 k= 5, v=54

s = 22.576

Note: df used for table values are approximate when  $\nu$  > 20.





Page

CE97/098-1

43

## **APPENDIX**

Page is not included in this copy.  Pages 2 through are not included in this copy.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information.
A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.